

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/887,685	DIACONESCU ET AL.

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Cynthia L Davis	2665	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Cynthia L Davis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) L. Anne Kinsman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2005

**Time:** Approx. 10:30 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 5. The word "type" ~~less~~ would be deleted from claims 1 and 5.

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Kinsman authorized a proposed examiner's amendment, deleting the word "type" from the first line of claims 1 and 5, so as to avoid a 112 2<sup>nd</sup>, indefiniteness rejection (see Ex Parte Copenhaver, 109 USPQ 118 (Bd. App 1955)).*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)